UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/825,200	04/16/2004	Kazumi Totaka	723-1505	7673
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 02/26/200 NDERHYE. PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	TORIMIRO, ADETOKUNBO OLUSEGUN		
AKLINGTON,	ON, VA 22203  ART UNIT		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/26/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief Application No. 10/825,200 Examiner Applicant(s) TOTAKA ET AL. Ant Unit ADETOKUNBO O. TORIMIRO 3714

	ADETOKUNBO O. TORIMIRO	3714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 January 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	RALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the size forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in completiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b  (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
<ul><li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li><li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ul>		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		be entered and an ex	planation of
8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	try is below or attach	ed.
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.		condition for allowand	ce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/John M Hotaling II/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: in response to the argument that the phrase data's interpretation does not include a non-winning combination, the examiner disagrees. The examiner points out that the phrase data as interpreted includes any feature in the gaming system that allows an increment in the counter of the game, whereby the counter occurs each time a non-winning outcome occurs, which also satisfies as the length per count. In response to the argument that Shuster does not teach incrementing, the examiner disagrees. The examiner points out that Shuster explains that if a non-winning combination occurred previously before another non-winning combination, then similar outcome has occurred which according to Shuster there is continuous counter. The examiner points out that this teaching of Shuster reads on this limitation of incrementing when the same outcomes are achieced in a row since the counter resets when the non-winning combination occurs. In response to the argument that a winning combination will not be incremented, the examiner notes that it will obvious for the winning combinations to counted and incremented everytime a winning combination occurs since the game system keeps record of the amount of times the game has been played via the counter.

In response to the argument that the motivatoin and suitable reasoning for combining the references is not clear, the examiner disagrees by explaining that the motivation for combining the references is so that the game system will have counter and a criteria for the system to carry out the incremement via the counter. The Shuster reference teaches on increament carried out based on non-winning combination and therefore showing that the counter and criteria is needed to increment the counter when similar outcomes are achieved in a row.

.